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## Why study sports competitions?

## Ideal environment to study fundamental properties of competition.

- Level playing field
- Clear and enforceable rules
- Copious amounts of detailed, longitudinal data


## Outline

- Model of competition
- Data set
- Analysis of timing, balance, points
- Simulation
- Prediction


## Model of competition



Probability of event occurring at time $t$
$\operatorname{Pr}($ event $)(t)$

Balance


Probability of winning an event
$\operatorname{Pr}\left(S_{r}\right.$ wins $)$

## Points



Probability of event being worth i points $\operatorname{Pr}($ points $=i)$

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(\Delta S_{r}=i\right)(t)=\operatorname{Pr}(\text { event })(t) \operatorname{Pr}\left(S_{r} \text { wins }\right) \operatorname{Pr}(\text { points }=i)
$$

## Tempo



Ideal $\quad \sim \operatorname{Poisson}(\lambda)$
Non-ideal $\quad \lambda(t)=\lambda_{0}+\alpha(t)$

## Balance



Ideal $\sim \operatorname{Bernoulli}(c=1 / 2)$
Non-ideal $\operatorname{Pr}(c)=\operatorname{Beta}(\beta, \beta)$

## Scoring event data



| sport | abbr. | time | competitions | scoring events | total teams |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pro. football | NFL | $2000-2009$ | 2,654 | 19,814 | 31 |
| Col. football | CFB | $2000-2009$ | 14,588 | 120,829 | 486 |
| Pro. hockey | NHL | $2000-2009$ | 11,744 | 47,539 | 29 |
| Pro. basketball | NBA | $2002-2010$ | 11,813 | $1,091,719$ | 31 |

Scoring event: (team, game clock, point value) to nearest second

Tempo


## Tempo: early phase






## Tempo: steady phase






## Tempo: end phase






## Tempo: inter-arrivals, correlation






## Timing - cumulative events






## Balance

Independent scoring events

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(S_{r}\right)=\binom{S_{r}+S_{b}}{S_{r}} c^{S_{r}}(1-c)^{S_{b}}
$$

Maximum likelihood estimator

$$
\hat{c}=\frac{S_{r}}{S_{r}+S_{b}}
$$

## Balance



## Balance

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} & =\prod_{k=1}^{N} \operatorname{Pr}\left(S_{r_{k}}, S_{b_{k}} \mid c\right) \operatorname{Pr}(c) \\
\mathcal{L} & =\prod_{k=1}^{N} c^{S_{r_{k}}}(1-c)^{S_{b_{k}}} \frac{\beta^{\beta-1}(1-c)^{\beta-1}}{\mathrm{~B}(\beta, \beta)} \\
\ln \mathcal{L}= & \sum_{k=1}^{N} \ln \left[\mathrm{~B}\left(S_{r_{k}}+\beta, S_{b_{k}}+\beta\right)\right]-\ln [\mathrm{B}(\beta, \beta)]
\end{aligned}
$$

Maximize w.r.t. $\beta$

## Balance



## Lead dynamics

## "Rich get poorer"



## Points

|  | fraction |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| point value | NFL | CFB | NBA |
| 1 | - | - | 0.097 |
| 2 | 0.009 | 0.014 | 0.738 |
| 3 | 0.290 | 0.017 | 0.161 |
| 6 | 0.032 | 0.071 | - |
| 7 | 0.427 | 0.514 | - |
| 8 | 0.016 | 0.018 | - |

## Non-parametric simulation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline t, S_{r}, S_{b} \leftarrow 0 \\
& \text { while } t \leq T \text { do } \\
& t \leftarrow \mathrm{t}+\text { get_next_time }() \\
& w \leftarrow \text { get_winner }() \\
& p \leftarrow \text { get_points }() \\
& \text { if } \mathrm{w}=S_{r} \text { then } \\
& S_{r} \leftarrow S_{r}+p \\
& \text { else } \\
& S_{b} \leftarrow S_{b}+p \\
& \text { end if } \\
& \text { end while }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Simulation






## Outcome prediction



Markov chain state

| space |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\downarrow$ |  | -2 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| $\mathrm{P}=$-2$=$0 <br> -1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0 |  |  |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |

## Outcome prediction



Probability of lead transitioning from i to j at next scoring event

## Outcome prediction

After each event:
I. Estimate remaining number of scoring events
2. Compute the probability lead ends $\quad \operatorname{Pr}($ team $r$ wins $\mid l, n)=\sum_{j=1} P_{l j}^{n}$
in state $\mathbf{>} 0$

## Outcome prediction



CFB




## Conclusions

- Global model of competition?
- Tempo follows a Poisson process
- First order Markov process captures nearly all scoring dynamics
- Competitions are predictable



## The end

Thanks for listening

